Ontario Geological Survey
Aggregate Resources Inventory
Paper 85

Aggregate Resources Inventory of Egremont Township Grey County Southern Ontario

By Staff of the Engineering and Terrain Geology Section
Ontario Geological Survey

1984

Ontario
Ministry of Natural Resources

Hon. Alan W. Pope
Minister

John R. Sloan
Deputy Minister

© OMNR-OGS 1984

Publications of the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources
and price list
are obtainable through the
Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources, Map Unit, Public Service Centre
Queen's Park, Toronto, Ontario
and
The Ontario Government Bookstore
880 Bay Street, Toronto, Ontario

Orders for publications should be accompanied by cheque
or money order, payable to the Treasurer of Ontario

ISSN 0708-2061
ISBN 0-7743-6473-4

This report was prepared by: Staff of the Aggregate Assessment Office, Engineering and Terrain Geology Section of the Ontario Geological Survey, Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources, 77 Grenville Street, Toronto, M5S 1B3, Telephone (416) 965-1182.

Project Supervisor: Dale W. Scott
Text Prepared by: D. Tennant
Compilation and Drafting by: Staff of the Aggregate Assessment Office

The Mineral Resources Staff of Owen Sound District and Southwestern Region of the Ministry of Natural Resources assisted in the collection of data, field checking and review of this report.

Parts of this publication may be quoted if credit is given to the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources, Ontario Geological Survey. It is recommended that reference to this report be made in the following form:

Ontario Geological Survey
1984: Aggregate Resources Inventory of Egremont Township, Grey County; Ontario Geological Survey, Aggregate Resources Inventory Paper 85, 39 p., 7 tables, 3 maps, scale 1:50 000.

Every possible effort is made to ensure the accuracy of the information contained in this report, but the Ministry of Natural Resources does not assume any liability for errors that may occur. Source references are included in the report and users may wish to verify critical information.

500-84-Spalding

CONTENTS

	Page
Abstract	v
Introduction	1
Part I - Inventory Methods	2
Field and Office Methods	2
Resource Tonnage Calculation Techniques	2
Sand and Gravel Resources	2
Bedrock Resources	3
Units and Definitions	3
Part II - Data Presentation and Interpretation	4
Map 1 Distribution of Sand and Gravel Deposits	4
Deposit Symbol	4
Texture Symbol	4
Map 2 Selected Sand and Gravel Resource Areas	5
Site Specific Criteria	5
Deposit Size	5
Aggregate Quality	5
Location and Setting	6
Regional Considerations	7
Map 3 Bedrock Resources	7
Selection Criteria	7
Selected Resource Areas	8
Part III - Assessment of Aggregate Resources in Egremont Township	9
Location and Population	9
Physiography and Surficial Geology	9
Extractive Activity	10
Selected Sand and Gravel Resource Areas	10
Selected Sand and Gravel Resource Area 1	11
Selected Sand and Gravel Resource Area 2	11
Sand and Gravel Resource Areas of Secondary Significance	12
Bedrock Geology	13
Summary	13
References	28
Appendix A - Suggested Additional Reading	30
Appendix B - Glossary	31
Appendix C - Geology of Sand and Gravel Deposits	34
Appendix D - Geology of Bedrock Deposits	36

TABLES

1 - Total Sand and Gravel Resources, Egremont Township	15
2 - Sand and Gravel Pits, Egremont Township	17
3 - Selected Sand and Gravel Resource Areas, Egremont Township	22
4 - Total Identified Bedrock Resources, Egremont Township	23
5 - Quarries, Egremont Township	24
6 - Selected Bedrock Resource Areas, Egremont Township	25
7 - Summary of Test Hole Data, Egremont Township	26

FIGURES

1 - Key Map Showing Location of Egremont Township	v
2 - Bedrock Geology of Southern Ontario	36

MAPS
(back pocket)

1 - Distribution of Sand and Gravel Deposits, Egremont Township, Scale 1:50 000.
2 - Selected Sand and Gravel Resource Areas, Egremont Township, Scale 1:50 000.
3 - Bedrock Resources, Egremont Township, Scale 1:50 000.

ABSTRACT



Figure 1 - Key Map Showing Location of Egremont Township, Scale 1:1 800 000.

This report includes both an inventory and analysis of sand and gravel as well as bedrock resources in Egremont Township. The report is part of the Aggregate Resources Inventory Program for townships and municipalities designated under The Pits and Quarries Control Act, 1971.

In Egremont Township two areas are considered to be of primary significance. Selected Resource Area 1, an outwash deposit, is the most important natural aggregate source in Egremont, and contains an estimated 510 million tons (465 million tonnes) of sand and crushable gravel. The two ice-contact-esker deposits which form Resource Area 2 contain 14 million tons (13 million tonnes) of gravel and sand. The aggregate in these selected areas is suitable for a variety of road-building and construction purposes.

The primary selected areas have a combined area of 9100 acres (3700 ha) excluding licenced properties. An estimated 7000 acres (2850 ha) are currently available for extraction, containing possible resources of 520 million tons (470 million tonnes). The available portions of the selected areas constitute 22 percent of the total area occupied by sand and gravel deposits in the township and 31 percent of the total tonnage.

Egremont Township has large possible resources of sand and gravel, and is an important regional resource of road-building and construction material. The significance of the resource areas in terms of future extractive development should be recognized.

The underlying bedrock in Egremont Township is of the Guelph and Salina Formations. Neither formation is suitable for the production of road-building or construction aggregate, although the Guelph Formation is a valuable source of dolomitic lime. With the exception of two small areas, drift thickness in the township generally exceeds 50 feet (15 m). No bedrock resource areas were selected because of a lack of a suitable bedrock type and the depth to bedrock.

Selected Resource Areas are not intended to be permanent, single land use units which must be incorporated in an official planning document. They represent areas in which a major resource is known to exist. Such Resource Areas may be reserved wholly or partially for extractive development and/or resource protection within the context of the official plan.

AGGREGATE RESOURCES INVENTORY OF EGREMONT TOWNSHIP[1]

BY
STAFF OF THE ENGINEERING
AND TERRAIN GEOLOGY SECTION

INTRODUCTION

Mineral aggregates, which include bedrock-derived crushed stone as well as naturally formed sand and gravel, constitute the major raw material in Ontario's road-building and construction industries. Very large amounts of these materials are used each year throughout the Province. For example, in 1979, the total tonnage of mineral aggregates extracted was 144 million tons (131 million tonnes), greater than that of any other metallic or nonmetallic commodity mined in the Province (Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources 1980).

Although mineral aggregate deposits are plentiful in southern Ontario, they are fixed-location, nonrenewable resources which can be exploited only in those areas where they occur. Mineral aggregates are characterized by their high bulk and low unit value so that the economic value of a deposit is a function of its proximity to a market area as well as its quality and size. The potential for extractive development is usually greatest in urban fringe areas where land use competition is extreme. For these reasons the availability of adequate resources for future development is now being threatened in some areas.

Comprehensive planning and resource management strategies are required to make the best use of available resources, especially in those areas experiencing rapid development. Such strategies must be based on a sound knowledge of the total mineral aggregate resource base at both local and regional levels. The purpose of the Aggregate Resources Inventory is to provide the basic geological information required to include potential mineral aggregate resource areas in planning strategies and official plans. The reports should form the basis for discussion on those areas best suited for possible extraction. The aim is to assist decision-makers in protecting the public well-being by ensuring that adequate resources of mineral aggregate remain available for future use.

This report is a technical background document, based for the most part on geological information and interpretation. It has been designed as a component of the total planning process and should be used in conjunction with other planning considerations, to ensure the best use of a municipality's resources.

The report includes an assessment of sand, gravel and crushed bedrock. The most recent information available has been used to prepare the reports. As new information becomes available, revisions may be necessary.

[1] Manuscript accepted for publication by Chief, Engineering and Terrain Geology Section, October 19, 1982.

This paper is published with the permission of V.G. Milne, Director, Ontario Geological Survey.

PART I - INVENTORY METHODS

FIELD AND OFFICE METHODS

The methods used to prepare the report primarily involve the interpretation of published geological data such as bedrock and surficial geology maps and reports (see References). Field methods included the examination of natural and man-made exposures of granular material. Most observations were made at quarries and sand and gravel pits located from records held by the Ontario Ministry of Transportation and Communications, the Ontario Geological Survey, and by Regional and District Offices of the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources. Observations made at pit sites included estimates of the total face height and the proportion of gravel- and sand-sized fragments in the deposit. Observations were also made of the shape and lithology of the particles. These characteristics are important in estimating the quality and quantity of the aggregate. In areas of limited exposure, test pitting, soil probing and hand-augering techniques were used to assess subsurface materials. Airphotos at various scales were used to determine the continuity of deposits, especially in areas of limited subsurface information.

Deposits with potential for further extractive development or those where existing data are scarce, were studied in greater detail. Representative layers in these deposits were sampled in 25- to 100-pound (11 to 45 kg) units either from existing pit faces or from test pits dug by backhoe. The samples were analysed for grain size distribution and in some cases for petrographic assemblage. Analyses were performed by the laboratories of the Soils and Aggregates Section, Engineering Materials Office, Ontario Ministry of Transportation and Communications. In areas of limited subsurface exposure, drilling using a powered hollow stem auger was undertaken. The stratigraphic sections in these test holes are described in drill logs included in the report as Table 7. In some cases samples taken during the course of the drilling were analysed to determine grain size distribution. The symbols for and locations of test hole sites are noted on Map 1.

In the office, the pit and field sample data were supplemented by information on file with the Soils and Aggregates Section of the Ontario Ministry of Transportation and Communications. Data contained in these files include field estimates of the depth, composition and "workability" of deposits as well as laboratory analyses of the physical properties and chemical suitability of the aggregate. Information concerning the development history of the pits and acceptable uses of the aggregate is also recorded. The location, size, and depth of extraction of pits licenced under The Pits and Quarries Control Act, 1971 were obtained from records held by Regional and District Offices of the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources. The cooperation of the above named groups in the compilation of inventory data is gratefully acknowledged.

Water well records, held by the Ontario Ministry of the Environment, were used in some areas to corroborate thickness estimates, or to indicate the presence of buried granular material. These records were used only in conjunction with other evidence. Soil reports published by the Ontario Ministry of Agriculture and Food were also consulted in order to supply additional information in areas with limited data. Topographic maps of the National Topographic System, at a scale of 1:50 000, were used as a compilation base for the field and office data. The information was then transferred to a township base map, also at a scale of 1:50 000, prepared by the Cartography Section of the Lands and Waters Group, Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources, for presentation in the report.

RESOURCE TONNAGE CALCULATION TECHNIQUES

SAND AND GRAVEL RESOURCES

Once the interpretative boundaries of the aggregate units have been drawn, quantitative estimates of the possible resources available can be made. Generally, the volume of a deposit can be calculated if its areal extent and average thickness are known or can be estimated. The computation methods used are as follows. First, the area of the deposit, as outlined on the final base map, is calculated in acres. The thickness values used are an approximation of the deposit thickness, based on the face heights of pits developed in the deposit or on subsurface data

such as test holes and water well logs. Original tonnage values can then be calculated by multiplying the volume of the deposit by 2500 (the density factor). This factor is approximately the number of tons in a one-foot (0.3 m) thick layer of sand and gravel, one acre (0.4 ha) in extent, assuming an average density of 110 pounds per cubic foot (1766 kg per cubic metre).

Tonnage = Area x Thickness x Density Factor

Tonnage calculated in this manner must be considered only as an estimate. Furthermore, such tonnages represent amounts that existed prior to any extraction of material (i.e. original tonnage) (Table 1, Column 4).

The Selected Sand and Gravel Resource Areas in Table 3 represent only those parts of the deposit lying outside licenced areas (Column 2). Two successive subtractions are made from the unlicenced area. Column 3 accounts for the number of acres unavailable due to the presence of permanent cultural features and their associated setback requirements. Column 4 accounts for those areas lying outside of licenced properties that have previously been extracted (e.g. wayside pits are included in this category). The remaining figure is the area of the deposit currently available for extraction (Column 5). The available area is then multiplied by the estimated deposit thickness and the density factor (Column 5 x Column 6 x 2500) to give an estimate of the sand and gravel tonnage (Column 7) presently available for extractive development and/or resource protection.

BEDROCK RESOURCES

The method used to calculate resources of bedrock-derived aggregate is much the same as that described above. The areal extent of favorable bedrock formations overlain by less than 50 feet (15 m) of unconsolidated overburden is determined from bedrock geology maps, drift thickness and bedrock topography maps and from the interpretation of water well records. The measured extent of such areas is then multiplied by the estimated workable thickness of the formation, based on stratigraphic analyses and on estimates of existing quarry faces in the unit. In some cases a standardized estimate of a workable thickness of 60 feet (18 m) is used. Volume estimates are then multiplied by 3600 (the estimated weight in tons of a one-foot (0.3 m) thick section of dolostone, one acre (0.4 ha) in extent, assuming a bulk density of 165 pounds per cubic foot (2649 kg per cubic metre)).

Resources of sandstone are calculated using a bulk density estimate of 146 pounds per cubic foot (2344 kg per cubic metre) or approximately 3200 tons per acre (7173 tonnes per hectare). Shale resources are calculated on the basis of a bulk density estimate of 150 pounds per cubic foot (2408 kg per cubic metre).

UNITS AND DEFINITIONS

Although most of the measurements and other primary data available for resource tonnage calculations are given in Imperial units, Metric units have also been given in the text and on the tables which accompany the report. The Metric equivalent of the data is shown in brackets after or directly below the corresponding Imperial figures. Data are generally rounded off in accordance with the Ontario Metric Practice Guide (Metric Committee 1975).

The tonnage estimates made for sand and gravel as well as bedrock-derived aggregates are termed possible resources in accordance with terminology of the Ontario Resource Classification Scheme (Robertson 1975, p. 7) and with the Association of Professional Engineers of Ontario (1976) (see Glossary, Appendix B).

PART II - DATA PRESENTATION AND INTERPRETATION

Three maps, each portraying a different aspect of the aggregate resources in the municipality, accompany the report. Map 1, "Distribution of Sand and Gravel Deposits", gives a comprehensive inventory of the sand and gravel resources in the report area. Map 2, "Selected Sand and Gravel Resource Areas", shows those deposits which are considered to represent the largest and/or highest quality resources in the area. Map 3, "Bedrock Resources", shows the distribution of bedrock formations, the thickness of overlying unconsolidated sediments, and identifies the Selected Bedrock Resource Areas.

MAP 1: DISTRIBUTION OF SAND AND GRAVEL DEPOSITS

Map 1 is derived directly from the existing surficial geology maps of the area or from airphoto and field interpretation where surficial mapping is incomplete. It shows the extent and quality of sand and gravel deposits within the study area and the present level of extractive activity.

The present level of extractive activity in the study area is indicated as follows. Those areas which are licenced for extraction under The Pits and Quarries Control Act, 1971 are shown by a solid outline and identified by a number which refers to the pit descriptions in Table 2. Each description notes the owner, location and licenced acreage of the pit, as well as the estimated face height and percentage gravel. A number of unlicenced pits (abandoned pits or wayside pits operating on demand under authority of a permit) are also identified and numbered on Map 1 and described in Table 2.

Map 1 also presents a summary of available information related to the quality of aggregate contained in all the known aggregate deposits in the study area. Much of this information is contained in the symbols which are found on the map. The Deposit Symbol appears for each mapped deposit and summarizes important genetic and textural data. The Texture Symbol is a circular proportional diagram which displays the grain size distribution of the aggregate in areas where bulk samples were taken.

DEPOSIT SYMBOL

The Deposit Symbol is similar to those used in soil mapping and land classification systems commonly in use in North America. The components of the symbol indicate the gravel content, thickness of material, origin (type), and quality limitations for a given deposit. These components are illustrated by the following example:



This symbol identifies an outwash deposit 10 to 20 feet (3 to 6 m) thick containing more than 35 percent gravel. Excess silt and clay may limit uses of the aggregate in the deposit.

The "gravel content" and "thickness class" are basic criteria for distinguishing different deposits. The "gravel content" symbol is an upper case "S" or "G". The "S" indicates that the deposit is generally "sandy" and that gravel-sized aggregate (greater than 4.75 mm) makes up less than 35 percent of the whole deposit. "G" indicates that the aggregate probably contains more than 35 percent gravel.

The "thickness class" indicates a depth range which is related to the potential resource tonnage for each deposit. Four thickness class divisions have been established as shown in the legend for Map 1.

Two smaller sets of letters, divided from each other by a horizontal line, follow the thickness class number. The upper series of letters identify the geologic deposit type (the types are summarized with respect to their main geologic and extractive characteristics in Appendix C) and the lower series of letters identify the main quality limitations that may be present in the deposit, as discussed in the next section.

TEXTURE SYMBOL

The Texture Symbol provides a more detailed assessment of the grain size distribution in deposits where samples were taken for analysis during field study. The data from which these symbols are derived has been plotted on grain size distribution graphs. The relative amounts of gravel, sand, silt, and clay in the sampled material are shown graphically by the sub-

division of a circle into proportional segments. The following example shows a hypothetical sample consisting of 30 percent gravel, 60 percent sand, and 10 percent silt and clay:

Test hole locations are shown on Map 1 by a solid drill hole symbol.

MAP 2: SELECTED SAND AND GRAVEL RESOURCE AREAS

Map 2 is an interpretative map derived from an evaluation of the deposits shown on Map 1. The deposits identified on Map 2 are those which are considered to be important in ensuring an adequate resource base for the future.

All the selected sand and gravel resource areas are first delineated by geological boundaries and then classified into three levels of significance: primary; secondary; and tertiary. These areas are identified on Map 2 by different shading patterns. Each area of primary significance is assessed as to its probable relative value as a resource in the municipality and is given a deposit number which denotes its ranking order. All such deposits are shown by a dark red shading on Map 2.

Selected Sand and Gravel Resource Areas of primary significance are not permanent, single land use units which must be incorporated in an official planning document. They represent areas in which a major resource is known to exist. Such Resource Areas may be reserved wholly or partially for extractive development and/or resource protection within the context of the official plan.

Deposits of secondary significance are not ranked numerically in this report, but are indicated by a light red shading on Map 2. Such deposits are believed to contain significant amounts of sand and gravel. Although deposits of secondary significance are not considered to be the "best" resource areas in a municipality, they may contain large quantities of sand and gravel and should be considered an integral component of the aggregate supply of the municipality.

Areas of tertiary significance are indicated on the map by a dashed line but have no shading. They are neither rated nor considered to be important resource areas because of their low available resources, or because of possible difficulties in extraction. Such areas may be useful for local needs but are unlikely to support large-scale development.

The process by which deposits are evaluated and selected involves the consideration of two sets of criteria. The main selection criteria are site specific, related to the characteristics of individual deposits. Factors such as deposit size, aggregate quality, and deposit location and setting are considered in the selection of those deposits best suited for extractive development. A second set of criteria involves the assessment of local aggregate resources in relation to the quality, quantity, and distribution of resources in the region in which the municipality is located. The intent of such a process of evaluation is to ensure the continuing availability of sufficient resources to meet possible future demands.

SITE SPECIFIC CRITERIA

DEPOSIT SIZE

Ideally, selected deposits should contain available sand and gravel resources large enough to support a commercial pit operation using a stationary or portable processing plant. In practice, much smaller deposits may be of significant value depending on the overall reserves in the rest of the municipality. Generally, deposits in Class 1, i.e. those thicker than 20 feet (6m) and containing more than 35 percent crushable gravel are considered to be most favourable for commercial development. Thinner deposits may be valuable in municipalities with low total resources.

AGGREGATE QUALITY

The limitations of natural aggregates for various uses result from variations in the lithology of the particles composing the deposit, and from variations in the size distribution of these particles.

Four indicators of the quality of aggregate may be included in the symbol for each deposit on Map 1. They are: gravel content (G or S); fines (C); oversize (O); and lithology (L).

Three of the indicators deal with grain size distribution. The gravel content (G or S) indi-

cates the suitability of aggregate for various uses. Deposits containing at least 35 percent gravel in addition to a minimum of 20 percent material greater than the 26.5 mm sieve are considered to be the most favourable extractive sites, since this content is the minimum from which crushed products can be economically produced.

Excess fines (or high silt and clay content) may severely limit the potential use of an aggregate. Fines content in excess of 10 percent may impede drainage in road sub-base aggregate and render it more susceptible to the effects of frost action. In asphaltic aggregate, excess fines hinder the bonding of particles. Deposits known to have a high fines content are indicated by a "C" in the quality portion of the Deposit Symbol.

Deposits containing more than 20 percent oversize material (greater than 4 inches (10 cm) in diameter) may also have use limitations. The oversize component is unacceptable for all concrete aggregate and for road-building aggregate, so it must be either crushed or removed during processing. Deposits known to have an appreciable oversize component are indicated by an "O" in the quality portion of the Deposit Symbol.

The other indicator of the quality of an aggregate is lithology. Just as the unique physical and chemical properties of bedrock formations determine their value for use as crushed rock, so do various lithologies of particles in a sand and gravel deposit determine its suitability for various uses. The presence of objectionable lithologies such as chert, siltstone, and shale, even in relatively small amounts, can result in a reduction in the quality of an aggregate, especially for high-quality uses such as concrete or structures. Deposits known to contain objectionable lithologies are indicated by an "L" in the quality component of the Deposit Symbol.

If the Deposit Symbol indicates either "C", "O", or "L" or any combination, the quality of the deposit is considered to be reduced for some uses of the aggregate. No attempt has been made to quantify the degree of limitation imposed. Assessment of the four indicators is made from published data, from data contained in files of the Ontario Ministry of Transportation and Communications and the Engineering and Terrain Geology Section of the Ontario Geological Survey, and from field observations. The Engineering Materials Office of the Ontario Ministry of Transportation and Communications has recently compiled a detailed assessment of aggregate suitability for selected areas in southern Ontario. This material has been consulted extensively in preparation of the inventory reports.

Analyses of unprocessed samples obtained from test holes and pits have been plotted on grain size distribution graphs. On the graphs are the gradation specification envelopes for Ontario Ministry of Transportation and Communications' products — Granular Base Course A,B, and C; and Hot-Laid Asphaltic Sand Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 8. By plotting the gradation curves with respect to the specification envelopes, it can be determined how well the unprocessed sampled material meets the criteria for each product.

LOCATION AND SETTING

The location and setting of a resource area has a direct influence on its value for possible extraction. The evaluation of a deposit's setting is made on the basis of those natural and man-made features which may limit or prohibit extractive development.

First, the physical context of the deposit is considered. Deposits with some physical constraint on extractive development, such as thick overburden or high water table, are less valuable resource areas because of the difficulties involved in resource recovery. Second, permanent man-made features, such as roads, railways, power lines, and housing developments, which are built on a deposit, may prohibit its extraction. The constraining effect of legally required setbacks surrounding such features is included in the evaluation. A quantitative assessment of these constraints can be made by measurement of their areal extent directly from the topographic maps. The area rendered unavailable by these features is shown for each resource area in Table 3 (Column 3).

The assessment of sand and gravel deposits and bedrock resource areas with respect to local land use and to private land ownership is an important component of the general evaluation process. These aspects of the evaluation process are not considered further in this report but

readers are encouraged to discuss them with personnel of the pertinent District Office of the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources.

REGIONAL CONSIDERATIONS

In selecting sufficient areas for resource development, it is important to assess both the local and the regional resource base, and to forecast future production and demand patterns.

Some appreciation of future aggregate requirements in an area may be gained by assessing its present production levels and by forecasting future production trends. Such an approach is based on the assumptions that production levels in an area closely reflect the demand and that the present production "market share" of an area will remain at roughly the same level.

The aggregate resources in the region surrounding a municipality should be assessed in order to properly evaluate specific resource areas and to adopt optimum resource management plans. For example, a municipality that has large resources in comparison to its surrounding region constitutes a regionally significant resource area. Municipalities with high resources in proximity to large demand centres, such as metropolitan areas, are special cases.

Although an appreciation of the regional context is required to develop comprehensive resource management techniques, such detailed evaluation is beyond the scope of this report. The selection of resource areas made in this study is based primarily on geological data or on considerations outlined in preceding sections.

MAP 3: BEDROCK RESOURCES

Map 3 is an interpretative map derived from bedrock geology, bedrock topography, drift thickness maps, water well data from the Ontario Ministry of the Environment, oil and gas well data from the Petroleum Resources Section (Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources), and from geotechnical test hole data from various sources. Map 3 is based on concepts similar to those outlined for Maps 1 and 2, but displays both the inventory and evaluation on the one map.

The geological boundaries of the bedrock units are shown by a dashed line. Isolated outcrops are indicated by an "X". Three sets of contour lines delineate areas of less than 3 feet (1 m) of drift, areas of 3 to 25 feet (1 to 8 m) of drift, and areas of 25 to 50 feet (8 to 15 m) of drift. The extent of the areas of thin drift are shown by three shades of blue. The darkest shade indicates areas where bedrock outcrops are within 3 feet (1 m) of the ground surface. These areas constitute potential resource areas of primary significance because of their easy access. The medium shade indicates areas where drift cover is up to 25 feet (8 m) thick. Quarrying is possible in this depth of overburden and these also represent potential resource areas. The lightest shade indicates bedrock areas overlain by 25 to 50 feet (8 to 15 m) of overburden. These latter areas constitute resources which have extractive value only in specific circumstances. Outside of these delineated areas the bedrock can be assumed to be covered by more than 50 feet (15 m) of overburden, a depth generally considered to be too great to allow economic extraction (unless part of the overburden is composed of economically attractive sand and gravel deposits).

Other inventory information presented on Map 3 is designed to give an indication of the present level of extractive activity in the municipality. Those areas which are licenced for extraction under The Pits and Quarries Control Act, 1971 are shown by a solid outline and identified by a number which refers to the quarry descriptions in Table 5. Each description notes the owner, location, and licenced acreage of the quarry and an estimate of face height. Unlicenced quarries (abandoned quarries or wayside quarries operating on demand under authority of a permit) are also identified and numbered on Map 3 and described in Table 5. One additional symbol appears on the map: an open dot indicates the location of a selected well which penetrates bedrock. The overburden thickness is shown in feet beside the open dot.

SELECTION CRITERIA

Criteria equivalent to those used for sand and gravel deposits are used to select bedrock areas most favourable for extractive development.

The evaluation of bedrock resources is made primarily on the basis of performance and suitability data established by laboratory testing at the Ontario Ministry of Transportation and

Communications. The main characteristics and uses of the bedrock formations found in southern Ontario are summarized in Appendix D.

Deposit "size" is related directly to the areal extent of thin drift cover overlying favourable bedrock formations of sufficient thickness to support quarry operations. Since vertical and lateral variations in bedrock units are much more gradual than in sand and gravel deposits, the quality and quantity of the resource is usually consistent over large areas.

Quality of the aggregate derived from specific bedrock units is established by the performance standards previously mentioned. Location and setting criteria and regional considerations are identical to those for sand and gravel deposits.

SELECTED RESOURCE AREAS

Selection of Bedrock Resource Areas has been restricted to a single level of significance. Three factors support this approach. First, quality and quantity variations are gradual. Second, the areal extent of a given quarry operation is much smaller than that of a sand and gravel pit producing an equivalent tonnage of material, and third, since crushed bedrock has a higher unit value than sand and gravel, longer haul distances can be considered. These factors allow the identification of alternative sites having similar development potential. The Selected Areas are shown on Map 3 by a line pattern and the calculated available tonnages are given in Table 6.

Selected Bedrock Resource Areas shown on Map 3 are not permanent, single land use units which must be incorporated in an official planning document. They represent areas in which a major bedrock resource is known to exist. Such a resource area may be reserved wholly or partially for extractive development and/or resource protection within the context of the official plan.

PART III - ASSESSMENT OF AGGREGATE RESOURCES IN EGREMONT TOWNSHIP

LOCATION AND POPULATION

Egremont Township occupies an area of 74,710 acres (30 235 ha) in southern Grey County, southern Ontario. The township is shown on the Durham (41 A/2) and Palmerston (40 P/15) map sheets of the National Topographic System, at a scale of 1:50 000.

Egremont experienced minor population growth during the mid to late seventies. However, as a result of recent population declines the overall population growth from 1972 to 1980 has only been two percent (Ontario Ministry of Intergovernmental Affairs 1981; Ontario Ministry of Treasury, Economics and Intergovernmental Affairs 1974). The 1980 population of Egremont Township was 1,979 (Ontario Ministry of Intergovernmental Affairs 1981).

Although there are no incorporated settlements within Egremont, the township contains numerous unincorporated settlements, such as Holstein, Maple Lane, Landerkin, Tartan and Boothville. The towns of Mount Forest (Wellington County) and Durham (Grey County) serve as local trade and retail centres for the township. Mount Forest, situated at the southwestern corner of the township, had a 1980 population of 3440 (Ontario Ministry of Intergovernmental Affairs 1981). The town's population has increased 14 percent since 1972 (Ontario Ministry of Treasury, Economics and Intergovernmental Affairs 1974). Durham's 1980 population of 2472 has increased less than one percent since 1972 (Ontario Ministry of Treasury, Economics and Intergovernmental Affairs 1974).

Egremont is a scenic township that contains many opportunities for recreation. It contains numerous cottages and recreational establishments. King's Highways 6 and 89, and county roads 9, 14, 23, 106 and 109 provide the major transportation links in the township. Rail access is provided by a Canadian Pacific Railway line that runs from Arthur to Mount Forest and by a Canadian National Railways line that runs from Palmerston through Holstein and Durham. These lines provide freight services on request only.

PHYSIOGRAPHY AND SURFICIAL GEOLOGY

The physiography and distribution of surficial materials in southern Ontario are largely the result of continental glaciation which took place 23 000 to 10 000 years ago, during the Late Wisconsinan Substage of the Pleistocene Epoch. The beginning of this time period was marked by the advance of lobes or submasses of the continental ice sheet out of the basins of the Great Lakes to join together to cover southern Ontario. In subsequent years the area experienced several periods of deglaciation followed by new advances of the ice until finally the ice in the area melted and completely disappeared.

In Egremont Township the ice sheet moving across the Georgian Bay area resulted in the formation of three major physiographic units: the Dundalk Till Plain; the Singhampton Moraine; and the Teeswater Drumlin Field (Chapman and Putnam 1966). The eastern third of the township is part of the Dundalk Till Plain which is a gently undulating, fluted plain made up of three successively younger till sheets, namely: Catfish Creek Till, Tavistock Till and Elma Till. Catfish Creek Till is a stony sandy silt to silty sand till deposited approximately 23 000 years ago by an ice lobe advancing out of Georgian Bay. It occurs mainly as buried ground moraine in Egremont, although small exposures are found in the extreme southeastern corner of the township.

The ice sheet which deposited the Catfish Creek till melted back to a position just north of the Holstein area. A series of lakes formed in front of the ice margin. The ice then readvanced (overriding the lacustrine sediments) and deposited Tavistock Till, the silty to clayey silt texture of which reflects the lacustrine sediments from which it was partially derived. There followed another period in which the ice melted back from Egremont Township. In a subsequent readvance to the southeast the ice deposited a sandy silt till called Elma Till. Elma, Tavistock and Catfish Creek tills are not suitable for use as aggregate because they contain excessive amounts of fine materials.

During the melting of either the Catfish Creek or the Elma ice sheets the Maple Lane Moraine (located in southeastern Egremont) was formed. The recession of the Elma ice sheet left behind a series of low, till end moraines oriented parallel to the Maple Lane Moraine on the Dundalk Till Plain. The ridges were accentuated by the action of meltwater channels draining on either side of them (Sharpe, in preparation). These small meltwater channels, floored with outwash sand and organic material, form a network both east and west of the Maple Lane Moraine.

Numerous small eskers, oriented from northwest to southeast, occur in the eastern and southern parts of Egremont. They are beaded eskers, formed when esker streams entered short-lived proglacial ponds during the melting of the Elma ice sheet. The beads contain deltaic sand and pebbly sand, whereas the esker ridges contain coarser sandy gravel (Sharpe, in preparation).

The margin of the Elma ice sheet was partially located in western Egremont for a prolonged period of time. Considerable meltwater sediment accumulation took place at the ice margin forming the Singhampton Moraine (Feenstra 1975), which is part of the larger physiographic unit known as the Horseshoe Moraines (Chapman and Putnam 1966). The Singhampton Moraine is a massive expanse of kames, and outwash channels and terraces. The moraine and its associated outwash train contain substantial deposits of moderate to high quality sand and gravel.

After forming the Singhampton Moraine the ice margin continued its recession from Egremont, uncovering the Teeswater Drumlin Field (Chapman and Putnam 1966), a small part of which is located in the northwestern corner of Egremont.

The Wisconsinan glacier disappeared from Egremont for the last time approximately 13 500 years ago. Postglacial erosional and depositional processes have been of minor importance in modifying Egremont's physiography. Bogs have developed in some areas. Present day streams have reworked glacial deposits into coarse gravelly alluvium. The Dundalk Till Plain has been covered with windblown silt, unusually deep deposits of which occur along the shallow sandy outwash deposits located in front of the Singhampton Moraine.

EXTRACTIVE ACTIVITY

In January 1981, Egremont Township was designated under The Pits and Quarries Control Act, 1971. Prior to that time numerous small pits had been active in the township. Most of these pits are now inactive (abandoned). The majority of pits that were located in the Singhampton Moraine were rated as being moderate to high quality aggregate sources by the Ontario Ministry of Transportation and Communications (M.T.C.). Other pits having a moderate to high rating are associated with esker systems in the southwestern corner of the township. These sources can provide crushed aggregate for Granular Base Course (G.B.C.) A and for some hot-laid asphaltic products. The M.T.C. has not rated the pits in the eastern half of the township.

There are currently nine licenced pits in Egremont. The total area licenced for extraction in these pits is 246.0 acres (99.6 ha). Information on file at the Owen Sound District Office of the Ministry of Natural Resources indicates that from July 1 to December 31, 1981, the combined production from licenced sources in Egremont Township was 71,253 tons (64 641 tonnes). Production from wayside pits during the same time period was 55,125 tons (50 009 tonnes).

SELECTED SAND AND GRAVEL RESOURCE AREAS

Egremont Township contains 32,500 acres (13 200 ha) of sand and gravel deposits. The total estimated tonnage in these deposits is 1700 million tons (1540 million tonnes). The majority of the best quality aggregate is found in the western portion of the township in the deposits associated with the Singhampton Moraine. Generally, these deposits are thick and contain moderate to high quality aggregate. Oversize material can be a quality limitation in this area.

An outwash deposit and two ice-contact eskers have been selected as primary resource areas. They total 9100 acres (3700 ha), exclusive of licenced properties, 7000 acres (2850 ha) of which are available for extraction. The total tonnage available for extraction is 520 million tons (470 million tonnes). The primary areas represent 22 percent of the total area of sand and gravel in the township and 31 percent of the

original tonnage.

A further 11,600 acres (4700 ha) of outwash and ice-contact deposits, formed in association with the Singhampton Moraine, have been selected at the secondary level of significance. Although they are not considered to be the best quality resources in the township, secondary and in some cases, tertiary deposits should be considered as part of the total aggregate supply.

SELECTED SAND AND GRAVEL RESOURCE AREA 1

Selected Sand and Gravel Resource Area 1 consists of a large outwash gravel deposit that extends diagonally northeast to southwest across the western half of Egremont Township (Area 1a on Map 2), and a smaller outwash deposit in the northeastern corner of the township (Area 1b on Map 2). The deposits are part of the outwash system associated with the Singhampton Moraine, which in turn is part of a larger physiographic unit known as the Artemesia Gravel. Originally documented by Logan (1863) and modified by Sharpe (in preparation), the Artemesia Gravel consists of all outwash sand and gravel deposited by melt-waters associated with the Gibraltar Moraine (located in Grey County north of Egremont) and the Singhampton Moraine.

The Singhampton branch of the Artemesia Gravel extends from Priceville (Glenelg Township) to just south of Letterbreen. In the northern, or upstream, section of the system, massive to crudely stratified, well rounded, coarse gravel was deposited by fast-flowing meltwaters. In the southern section, lower rates of discharge resulted in some cross-stratification in the fine gravel (Sharpe, in preparation).

Resource Area 1 covers a large area and has been estimated to be 20 to 49 feet (6 to 15 m) thick (Sharpe, in preparation). The highest reported pit face height in the deposit is 30 feet (9 m). There are eleven abandoned pits in Resource Area 1 (pit nos. 17, 33, 34, 35, 36, 40, 41, 46, 47, 50, and 52) which contain 35 to 75 percent gravel. Twenty to 65 percent of the gravel is greater than one inch (2.5 cm) while 2 to 25 percent is greater than four inches (10 cm) in size. Lithologically, the deposit contains hard round cobbles of dolostone (85 percent Amabel and Guelph Formations) and limited amounts of limestone (10 percent) and Precambrian material (5 percent) (Sharpe, in preparation). The deposit may contain some silt and clay. In some areas lag deposits of large stones have been a barrier to exploratory drilling.

The Ontario Ministry of Transportation and Communications has listed abandoned pit nos. 33, 41, 46 and 47 as having moderate to moderate-high use ratings, indicating that the material in these pits can be used for G.B.C. A, B, and C and possibly hot-laid asphaltic stone (Deike 1978). In abandoned pit 46, oversize material must be removed or crushed for the production of Granular B. Sand blending is required in all of the pits if the material is to be used for hot-laid products. There is one licenced pit in the deposit (pit no. 2), with an area of 4.6 acres (1.9 ha). The pit produces G.B.C. B and C.

Selected Resource Area 1 has an area of 8900 acres (3600 ha). After accounting for cultural constraints and previous extraction, approximately 6800 acres (2750 ha) are considered to be available for extraction. Assuming an average deposit thickness of 30 feet (9 m), the sand and gravel resources are estimated to be 510 million tons (465 million tonnes). Resource Area 1 is accessible by Grey County roads 9, 23, 106 and 109 as well as by King's Highway 6. A Canadian National Railways line runs through the main deposit.

SELECTED SAND AND GRAVEL RESOURCE AREA 2

Selected Sand and Gravel Resource Area 2 is made up of two gravel deposits located in the northeastern corner of Egremont Township (Areas 2a and 2b on Map 2). The deposits contain two types of material. One type can be specifically identified as being of eskerine origin. The other material has been more generally identified as being of ice-contact origin.

The ice-contact material in the deposits consists mainly of outwash gravels laid down in contact with the ice and subsequently transformed into a complex mass of sediment by calving, ice burial and other ice-contact processes. The esker segments, located on or adjacent to the ice-contact deposits, are oriented northwest to southeast. The eskers were formed during the melting of the Tavistock or Elma ice sheets and are part of the Egerton Esker. The Egerton Esker is made up of coarse sandy gravel ridges, as well as beads of sandy deltaic sediments which represent material deposited into preglacial ponds by the esker stream (Sharpe, in preparation).

There are three abandoned pits in Resource Area 2 (pit nos. 21, 22 and 28) which are located in the esker cores. The pits have face heights of 10 to 25 feet (3 to 8 m). These pits contain 70 to 80 percent gravel, 30 to 70 percent of which is greater than 1 inch (2.5 cm) in size. Ten percent of the gravel in pits 21 and 22 is greater than 4 inches (10 cm) in size. Pit 28 contains 5 percent oversize material (greater than 4 inches (10 cm)). These pits are excellent crushing prospects, and are probably capable of supplying material for granular base course and high-specification asphaltic aggregates. Licenced pit 3 is partially located in Resource Area 2a. This pit contains slightly finer material than abandoned pits 21 and 22. Material in this pit is 30 to 60 percent gravel, 20 to 40 percent of which is greater than 1 inch (2.5 cm) and 10 to 35 percent of which is greater than 4 inches (10 cm) in size. The material is probably suitable for use as Granular Base Course B and C, but testing is required to determine whether it is suitable for higher specification aggregate. There is no data for the ice-contact sections of Resource Area 2, therefore, testing is required to determine what products can be manufactured from the material.

Resource Area 2 has an unlicenced area of 218 acres (88 ha), exclusive of the licenced property, 184 acres (75 ha) of which are considered to be available for extraction. Assuming an average deposit depth of 30 feet (9 m) the aggregate resources total 14 million tons (13 million tonnes). Resource Area 2 is accessible by County Road 23 and various township roads.

SAND AND GRAVEL RESOURCE AREAS OF SECONDARY SIGNIFICANCE

Secondary resources should also be considered as an integral part of a municipality's aggregate supply. Several areas have been selected as being of secondary significance in Egremont Township. Most of them are associated with the Singhampton Moraine complex.

Three of the secondary areas are ice-contact sand composed of outwash sediments deposited in close proximity to the ice sheet. Calving, ice burial and other ice-contact processes had a disruptive influence and transformed the deposits into a hummocky, complex mass of sediment. As a result the deposits exhibit the variability that is typical of ice-contact material. Abandoned pits 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 23, 24, 25, 26, 29, 30, 37, 38, 51 and licenced pit 1 are located within the deposits. The pits contain 20 to 70 percent gravel. The face heights range from 10 to 35 feet (3 to 11 m), although the average height is 16 feet (5 m). Two test holes were drilled in the larger deposit (EG-TH-1, EG-TH-2). Test hole 1 revealed 25 feet (8 m) of medium to fine sand. Test hole 2 was terminated after 7.5 feet (2.3 m) of coarse sand and fine to medium gravel, because the drill could not penetrate further.

Data obtained from M.T.C. indicate that pits 12 and 23 contain material suitable for the production of granular base course and 5/8 inch (16 mm) crushed stone. The material in pit 51 is rated by M.T.C. as having moderate to moderate-high potential, meaning that it is expected to be suitable for high quality granular base course and possibly some asphaltic aggregate. Because of the variability exhibited by ice-contact sediments, a detailed subsurface investigation is necessary prior to initiating extractive activity.

An ice-contact gravel deposit located in the centre of Egremont is also of secondary significance. The two abandoned pits in the deposit (pits nos. 37 and 38) have face heights of 15 and 20 feet (5 and 6 m) and contain approximately 70 and 40 percent gravel, respectively. Thirty to forty percent of the gravel in the pits is larger than 1 inch (2.5 cm) and two to five percent is greater than 4 inches (10 cm). These pits are considered crushable sources according to M.T.C. specifications. However they have not been rated by M.T.C. and testing is required to determine what products the pits can produce.

The Maple Lane Moraine, an ice-contact deposit in southeastern Egremont, is of secondary significance to the aggregate supply in Egremont Township. The moraine contains ten abandoned or wayside pits and one licenced pit (pit nos. 39, 43, 44, 49, 54, 55, 58, 59, 60, 61 and licenced pit 4). The face heights range from 10 to 40 feet (3 to 12 m) and the pits generally contain 10 to 35 percent gravel. Pit 44 contains 70 percent gravel. The moraine appears to be a sand deposit with some gravel pockets. Water well data show 50 to 109 feet (15 to 33 m) of gravel and sand. Testing is required to determine what products can be produced from these pits.

Six small outwash deposits found east of Resource Area 1 are also considered to be of secondary significance. These deposits were formed in conjunction with Selected Resource Area 1 and are expected to be similar in character. Abandoned pit 62 is located in the southernmost of the outwash deposits. The pit contains 40 percent gravel, 20 percent of which is greater than 1 inch (2.5 cm) and five percent of which is greater than 4 inches (10 cm). The pit's face height is 10 feet (3 m). There is no other subsurface data available for the six deposits in this group, however they have been selected on the basis of their anticipated quality.

A small gravel ice-contact esker deposit, located along the southern boundary of the township is also considered to be of secondary significance. Licenced pits 8 and 9 are located in this deposit. They contain 35 to 60 percent gravel and have face heights from 10 to 60 feet (3 to 18 m). Aggregate from these pits can be used to produce granular base course, and with blending, asphaltic aggregate.

BEDROCK GEOLOGY

Two bedrock units of Silurian age occur in Egremont Township — the Guelph Formation and the Salina Formation. The Guelph Formation, which underlies the majority of the township, has a relatively level bedrock surface and consists of tan to brown, even-textured, fine- to medium-crystalline dolostone which appears vuggy and porous in reefal complexes (Liberty 1966). The formation has a high chemical purity and is a valuable raw material for numerous metallurgical products. It is quarried for the manufacture of dolomitic lime near Guelph and Hamilton. The Guelph Formation is generally soft, and erodes easily. For these reasons, it is not usually well suited for the production of load-bearing aggregate for road or residential construction.

The Salina Formation underlies the southwestern corner of the township and has more variable topography. The Salina Formation is predominantly thin-bedded, soft, green and red shale with anhydrite, mineral molds and thin bituminous partings. It also consists of buff, fine-crystalline dolostone and some greenish and bluish-grey shale (Liberty 1966). The soft shales and evaporite deposits of the Salina Formation have poor resistance to erosion and abrasion. Consequently, the material has little value as load-bearing aggregate. There is a break in slope along the Guelph-Salina contact, dipping west at approximately 50 feet per mile (9.5 m per km).

Both the Guelph and Salina Formations are covered by an average of 75 to 150 feet (23 to 46 m) of overburden (Sado 1976). The drift is thickest to the west and north along the Singhampton Moraine (100 to 150 feet (30 to 46 m)) and in a narrow band along the Maple Lane Moraine (100 to 125 feet (30 to 38 m)). With the exception of the accumulation along the Maple Lane Moraine, the drift thins towards the southeast.

Generally, the thickness of the drift makes it uneconomical to excavate to bedrock. However, there are two places in the township where the bedrock is covered with less than 50 feet (15 m) of drift. These occur in the extreme northwestern corner of the township, and along the southern part of the eastern boundary of the township. The underlying bedrock in both places is the Guelph Formation. The estimated tonnages of bedrock available in these localities are presented in Table 4. As previously mentioned, the Guelph Formation has limited value as road-building or construction aggregate and for that reason no bedrock resource areas have been selected.

SUMMARY

Egremont Township has large possible resources of sand and gravel, which are associated primarily with the Singhampton Moraine and its related outwash deposits. Egremont Township is an important regional source of road-building and related construction aggregate. Two areas of outwash and ice-contact gravels have been suggested for possible resource protection at the primary level. These resource areas contain large quantities of good quality aggregate suitable for many road-building and construction purposes. In addition, several deposits have been selected for resource protection at the secondary level. The secondary areas are important components of the total aggregate supply.

The township is underlain by bedrock of the Guelph and Salina Formations. Both formations are unacceptable sources of road-building or construction aggregate and drift thickness is generally in excess of 50 feet (15 m). Consequently, no areas were selected for possible resource protection.

Enquiries regarding the Aggregate Resources Inventory of Egremont Township should be directed to the Ministry of Natural Resources either at the Owen Sound District Office, 611-9th Avenue East, Owen Sound, Ontario, N4K 3E4 (Tel. (519) 376-3860) or at the Southwestern Region Geological Office, 458 Central Avenue, London, Ontario N6B 2E5 (Tel. (519) 433-8431).

TABLE 1 | TOTAL SAND AND GRAVEL RESOURCES, EGREMONT TOWNSHIP*

1 CLASS NO.	2 DEPOSIT TYPE (see Appendix C)	3 AREAL EXTENT Acres (Hectares)	4 ORIGINAL TONNAGE Millions of Tons (Tonnes)
1	G-IC-E	230 (93)	18 (16)
	S-IC	10,100 (4100)	630 (570)
	G-OW	8900 (3600)	670 (610)
	S-OW	240 (97)	15 (14)
2	S-E	105 (42)	4 (4)
	G-IC-E	110 (44)	5 (4)
	S-IC-E	150 (61)	7 (6)
	G-IC	410 (166)	17 (15)
	S-IC	900 (365)	35 (32)
	G-OW	1100 (445)	41 (37)
	S-OW	3450 (1400)	130 (118)
3	S-E	24 (10)	<1 (<1)
	G-IC-E	800 (325)	20 (18)
	G-IC	340 (138)	7 (6)
	S-IC	2800 (1130)	55 (50)
	G-OW	170 (69)	3 (3)

TABLE 1 | TOTAL SAND AND GRAVEL RESOURCES, EGREMONT TOWNSHIP*

1 CLASS NO.	2 DEPOSIT TYPE (see Appendix C)	3 AREAL EXTENT Acres (Hectares)	4 ORIGINAL TONNAGE Millions of Tons (Tonnes)
	S-OW	2140 (870)	43 (39)
4	G-IC	28 (11)	<1 (<1)
	S-IC	160 (65)	2 (2)
	G-OW	12 (5)	<1 (<1)
	S-OW	145 (59)	2 (2)
		32,500 (13 200)	1700 (1540)

* Identified deposits within the Town of Mount Forest have been omitted.

N.B. Minor variations in all tables are caused by rounding of data.

TABLE 2 | SAND AND GRAVEL PITS, EGREMONT TOWNSHIP

1 NO.	2 MTC NO.	3 OWNER/OPERATOR	4 LOT	5 CON.	6 LICENCED AREAS Acres (Hectares)	7 FACE HEIGHT Feet (Metres)	8 % GRAVEL
LICENCED PITS							
1	–	N. Watson	14	2E	11.0 (4.5)	10 (3)	20-30
2	–	C. Moore	11	20	4.6 (1.9)	–	–
3	–	K. Leith	18, 19	20	19.5 (7.9)	25 (8)	65
4	–	P. Ferguson	17	9	7.3 (3.0)	20 (6)	10
5	–	H. Bye Construction Ltd.	A, 1	8	38.5 (15.6)	15 (5)	15
6	–	Reeves Construction Ltd.	64, 65	3E	46.0 (18.6)	–	–
7	53	H. Bye Construction Ltd.	1	6	48.6 (19.7)	20 (6)	35
8	–	Mount Forest Sand and Gravel	6	4	44.5 (18.0)	10-60 (3-18)	35-60
9	107	H. Bye Construction Ltd.	7	4	26.0 (10.5)	20 (6)	35-40
					246.0 (99.6)		
UNLICENCED PITS*							
10	75	C. Hunter	2	1E	–	10 (3)	55 (partially overgrown)
11	76	Pritchard	3	1E	–	25 (8)	10 (partially overgrown)
12	13	E. Domm	4	1E	–	17 (5)	– (overgrown)
13	–	Unknown	7	3E	–	20 (6)	25 (partially overgrown)

TABLE 2 | SAND AND GRAVEL PITS, EGREMONT TOWNSHIP

1 NO.	2 MTC NO.	3 OWNER/OPERATOR	4 LOT	5 CON.	6 LICENCED AREAS Acres (Hectares)	7 FACE HEIGHT Feet (Metres)	8 % GRAVEL
14	–	Township of Egremont	A	21	–	15-35 (5-11)	20-50 (wayside)
15	–	Unknown	A	21	–	20 (6)	65 (overgrown)
16	–	Unknown	3	22	–	10 (3)	30 (overgrown)
17	–	Unknown	6	21	–	10 (3)	55 (overgrown)
18	–	Unknown	11	22	–	8 (2)	40
19	–	Unknown	11	22	–	15 (5)	30
20	–	Unknown	19	22	–	10 (3)	55 (overgrown)
21	–	Unknown	17	21	–	25 (8)	75
22	–	Unknown	17	21	–	10 (3)	70
23	101	Weber	16	2E	–	20 (6)	–
24	–	Unknown	21	2E	–	10 (3)	50 (partially overgrown)
25	–	Unknown	18	3E	–	20 (6)	30 (overgrown)
26	–	C. Caldwell	5	19	–	10 (3)	40 (overgrown)
27	–	Unknown	13	18	–	6 (2)	20 (overgrown)
28	–	Chris Schieven	22	19	–	10-25 (3-8)	30-80 (wayside)
29	–	Unknown	23	3E	–	10 (3)	60 (partially overgrown)

TABLE 2 | SAND AND GRAVEL PITS, EGREMONT TOWNSHIP

1 NO.	2 MTC NO.	3 OWNER/OPERATOR	4 LOT	5 CON.	6 LICENCED AREAS Acres (Hectares)	7 FACE HEIGHT Feet (Metres)	8 % GRAVEL
30	–	Unknown	24	3E	–	15 (5)	50 (overgrown)
31	–	L. Ecctes	6	16	–	12 (4)	35
32	–	Unknown	25	17	–	10 (3)	60 (overgrown)
33	25	Pinder	15	1E	–	15 (5)	60 (overgrown)
34	–	Unknown	34	2E	–	15 (5)	70
35	–	L. Shaw	29	2E	–	10 (3)	55 (overgrown)
36	–	Unknown	32	3E	–	10 (3)	60 (partially overgrown)
37	–	E. Echlin	16	14	–	15 (5)	70 (partially overgrown)
38	–	Morrison (Twp. of Egremont)	18	14	–	20 (6)	40 (partially overgrown)
39	–	G. Dyce	27	14	–	15 (5)	15
40	–	Unknown	18	1E	–	15 (5)	75 (overgrown)
41	66	W. Adams	39	2E	–	20-30 (6-9)	– (partially rehabilitated)
42	–	K. Pallett	10	12	–	10 (3)	60
43	–	G. Green	22	12	–	15 (5)	35
44	–	Unknown	24	12	–	20 (6)	70 (overgrown)

TABLE 2 | SAND AND GRAVEL PITS, EGREMONT TOWNSHIP

1 NO.	2 MTC NO.	3 OWNER/OPERATOR	4 LOT	5 CON.	6 LICENCED AREAS Acres (Hectares)	7 FACE HEIGHT Feet (Metres)	8 % GRAVEL
45	–	Unknown	20	1E	–	10 (3)	60 (overgrown)
46	32	Heaney	19	1E	–	15 (5)	35
47	42	Heaney	18	1E	–	10 (3)	65 (overgrown)
48	–	I. Herst	7	10	–	15 (5)	20 (partially overgrown)
49	–	W. Coolson	17	10	–	15 (5)	10
50	–	Unknown	24	1E	–	10 (3)	45 (overgrown)
51	36	McDougal	51	3E	–	–	70 (overgrown)
52	34	Artken	49	3E	–	15 (5)	60 (overgrown)
53	–	Unknown	3	9	–	15 (5)	25
54	–	P. Ferguson	16	9	–	20 (6)	10 (partially rehabilitated)
55	–	R. Gilles	18	9	–	25 (8)	15
56	–	Bye	A	8	–	5 (2)	15
57	52	Hewitt	4	8	–	15 (5)	65 (overgrown)
58	–	L. Harns	18	7	–	10 (3)	30 (overgrown)
59	–	W. Watson	15	7	–	30-40 (9-12)	30-35 (wayside)

TABLE 2 | SAND AND GRAVEL PITS, EGREMONT TOWNSHIP

1 NO.	2 MTC NO.	3 OWNER/OPERATOR	4 LOT	5 CON.	6 LICENCED AREAS Acres (Hectares)	7 FACE HEIGHT Feet (Metres)	8 % GRAVEL
60	–	Unknown	16	7	–	10 (3)	15
61	–	Unknown	16	7	–	20 (6)	35 (partially overgrown)
62	–	Unknown	5	5	–	10 (3)	40 (overgrown)
63	–	Unknown	5	6	–	12 (4)	40 (overgrown)

* Abandoned pits or wayside pits operating on demand under authority of a permit.

TABLE 3 | SELECTED SAND AND GRAVEL RESOURCE AREAS, EGREMONT TOWNSHIP

1 DEPOSIT NO.	2 UNLICENCED AREA Acres (Hectares)	3 CULTURAL SETBACKS Acres (Hectares)	4 EXTRACTED AREA Acres (Hectares)	5 AVAILABLE AREA Acres (Hectares)	6 ESTIMATED DEPOSIT THICKNESS Feet (Metres)	7 AVAILABLE AGGREGATE Millions of Tons (Tonnes)
1	8900 (3600)	2020 (820)	33 (13)	6800 (2750)	30 (9)	510 (465)
2	218 (88)	25 (10)	9 (4)	184 (75)	30 (9)	14 (13)
	9100 (3700)	2050 (830)	42 (17)	7000 (2850)		520 (470)

TABLE 4 | TOTAL IDENTIFIED BEDROCK RESOURCES, EGREMONT TOWNSHIP

1 **DRIFT THICKNESS** Feet (Metres)	2 **FORMATION**	3 **ESTIMATED DEPOSIT THICKNESS** Feet (Metres)	4 **AREAL EXTENT** Acres (Hectares)	5 **ORIGINAL TONNAGE** Millions of Tons (Tonnes)
3-25 (1-8)	Guelph Formation	60 (18)	460 (186)	99 (90)
25-50 (8-15)	Guelph Formation	60 (18)	2180 (880)	470 (425)
			2650 (1070)	570 (520)

TABLE 5 | QUARRIES, EGREMONT TOWNSHIP

1 NO.	2 MTC NO.	3 OWNER/OPERATOR	4 LOT	5 CON.	6 LICENCED AREA Acres (Hectares)	7 FACE HEIGHT Feet (Metres)

– NONE –

TABLE 6 | SELECTED BEDROCK RESOURCE AREAS, EGREMONT TOWNSHIP

1 DEPOSIT NO.	2 DEPTH OF OVERBURDEN Feet (Metres)	3 UNLICENCED AREA Acres (Hectares)	4 CULTURAL SETBACKS Acres (Hectares)	5 EXTRACTED AREA Acres (Hectares)	6 AVAILABLE AREA Acres (Hectares)	7 ESTIMATED WORKABLE THICKNESS Feet (Metres)	8 AVAILABLE RESOURCES Millions of Tons (Tonnes)

– NONE –

TABLE 7 | SUMMARY OF TEST HOLE DATA, EGREMONT TOWNSHIP

Test Hole Number: EG-TH-1

Location: Lot 3, Conc. 3E

Elevation: approximately 1300 feet (396.2 m) a.s.l.

Date: August 14, 1981

DEPTH Feet (metres)	DESCRIPTION
0-0.5 (0-0.15)	topsoil
0.5-16 (0.15-4.9)	compact brown medium sand with trace silt
16-26.5 (4.9-8.1)	compact wet brown fine sand with a little silt
	ground water at 15 feet (4.6 m)
	hole terminated at 26.5 feet (8.1 m)

Test Hole Number: EG-TH-2

Location: Lot 7, Conc. 2E

Elevation: approximately 1275 feet (388.6 m) a.s.l.

Date: August 14, 1981

DEPTH Feet (metres)	DESCRIPTION
0-7.5 (0-2.3)	compact silty coarse sand with some fine gravel and trace coarse gravel
	hole terminated at 7.5 feet (2.3 m) because augers could not penetrate underlying material

TABLE 7 | SUMMARY OF TEST HOLE DATA, EGREMONT TOWNSHIP

Test Hole Number: EG-TH-3

Location: Lot 11, Conc. 19

Elevation: approximately 1500 feet (457.2 m) a.s.l.

Date: August 17, 1981

DEPTH Feet (metres)	DESCRIPTION
0-11.4 (0-3.5)	compact yellow-brown medium fine sand with trace silt
11.4-12.5 (3.5-3.8)	dense yellow-brown clay
12.5-20.4 (3.8-6.2)	dense yellow-brown medium sand with some coarse sand and trace silt
20.4-20.8 (6.2-6.3)	dense brown clay
20.8-25.5 (6.3-7.8)	dense yellow-brown medium sand with some coarse sand and a trace silt
25.5-26.5 (7.8-8.1)	dense brown clay
26.5-30.4 (8.1-9.3)	very dense clayey medium sand with some coarse sand
30.4-38.0 (9.3-11.6)	very dense gravelly coarse sand with some medium sand and trace silt
	hole terminated at 38 feet (11.6 m)

REFERENCES

Association of Professional Engineers of Ontario
1976: Performance Standards for Professional Engineers Advising on and Reporting on Oil, Gas and Mineral Properties; Association of Professional Engineers of Ontario, 11 p.

Chapman, L.J. and Putnam, D.F.
1966: The Physiography of Southern Ontario; Second Edition, Ontario Research Foundation, University of Toronto Press, 386 p.

Cowan, W.R.
1979: Quaternary Geology of the Palmerston Area, Southern Ontario; Ontario Geological Survey, Report 187, 64 p. Accompanied by Maps 2383 and 2384, scale 1:50 000.

Deike, W.
1978: Aggregate Suitability Evaluation, Egremont Township, Grey County; Aggregate Unit, Pavement Design and Management Section, Engineering Materials Office, Ontario Ministry of Transportation and Communications, unpublished report, 2 p.

Feenstra, B.H.
1975: Quaternary Geology of the Markdale Area (41 A/7) Grey County; pp. 116-118 in Summary of Field Work, 1975, by the Geological Branch (ed. V.G. Milne, D.F. Hewitt, K.D. Card and J.A. Robertson), Ontario Division of Mines, Miscellaneous Paper 63, 158 p.

Liberty, B.A.
1966: Geology of the Bruce Peninsula, Ontario; Geological Survey of Canada, Paper 65-41, 8 p., 13 maps, scale 1:63 360.

Liberty, B.A. and Bolton, T.E.
1971: Paleozoic Geology of the Bruce Peninsula Area, Ontario; Geological Survey of Canada, Memoir 360, 163 p., 1 chart, 1 map, scale 1:253 440.

Logan, W.E.
1863: Superficial Geology; pp. 886-930 in Report on the Geology of Canada, Geological Survey of Canada, Report of Progress to 1863, 42 p. Accompanying Atlas published 1865.

Ontario
1982: The Pits and Quarries Control Act, 1971; Revised Statutes of Ontario, 1980, Chapter 378, Queen's Printer for Ontario.

Ontario Interministerial Committee on National Standards and Specifications (Metric Committee)
1975: Metric Practice Guide; 67 p.

Ontario Ministry of Intergovernmental Affairs
1981: Municipal Directory 1981; Queen's Printer for Ontario, 191 p.

Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources
1980: Statistics 1980; Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources, 122 p.

Ontario Ministry of Treasury, Economics and Intergovernmental Affairs
1974: 1974 Municipal Directory; Queen's Printer for Ontario, 128 p.

Robertson, J.A.
1975: Mineral Deposit Studies, Mineral Potential Evaluation, and Regional Planning in Ontario; Ontario Division of Mines, Miscellaneous Paper 61, 42 p.

Sado, E.V.
1976: Granular Aggregate Inventory of Egremont Township, Grey County, Ontario; Ontario Division of Mines, Open File Report 5169, 18 p., 5 tables and 1 map, scale 1:50 000.

Sharpe, D.R.
in preparation: Quaternary Geology of the Durham Area, Southern Ontario; Ontario Geological Survey, report in preparation.

Sharpe, D.R. and Broster, B.E.
1977: Quaternary Geology of the Durham Area, Southern Ontario; Ontario Geological Survey, Preliminary Map P. 1556, Geological Series, scale 1:50 000.
1978: Sand and Gravel Resources of the Durham Area, Grey County, Southern Ontario; Ontario Geological Survey, Preliminary Map P. 1835, Geological Series, scale 1:50 000.

Sharpe, D.R. and Clue, J.
1978: Drift Thickness of the Durham Area, Southern Ontario; Ontario Geological Survey, Preliminary Map P. 1837, Drift Thickness Series, scale 1:50 000.

APPENDIX A - SUGGESTED ADDITIONAL READING

American Geological Institute
1972: Glossary of Geology; Washington, D.C., 858 p.

Bauer, A.M.
1970: A Guide to Site Development and Rehabilitation of Pits and Quarries; Ontario Department of Mines, Industrial Mineral Report 33, 62 p.

Cowan, W.R.
1977: Toward the Inventory of Ontario's Mineral Aggregates; Ontario Geological Survey, Miscellaneous Paper 73, 19 p.

Fairbridge, R.W. (ed.)
1968: The Encyclopedia of Geomorphology; Encyclopedia of Earth Sciences, Vol. III, Reinhold Book Corp., N.Y., 1295 p.

Flint, R.F.
1971: Glacial and Quaternary Geology, John Wiley and Sons Inc., 892 p.

Hewitt, D.F.
1960: The Limestone Industries of Ontario; Ontario Department of Mines, Industrial Mineral Circular 5, 177 p.
1964a: Building Stones of Ontario, Part I Introduction; Ontario Department of Mines, Industrial Mineral Report 14, 43 p.
1964b: Building Stones of Ontario, Part II Limestone; Ontario Department of Mines, Industrial Report 15, 43 p.
1964c: Building Stones of Ontario, Part III Marble; Ontario Department of Mines, Industrial Mineral Report 16, 89 p.
1964d: Building Stones of Ontario, Part IV Sandstone; Ontario Department of Mines, Industrial Mineral Report 17, 57 p.
1972: Paleozoic Geology of Southern Ontario; Ontario Division of Mines, Geological Report 105, 18 p.

Hewitt, D.F. and Karrow, P.F.
1963: Sand and Gravel in Southern Ontario; Ontario Department of Mines, Industrial Mineral Report 11, 151 p.

Hewitt, D.F. and Vos, M.A.
1970: Urbanization and Rehabilitation of Pits and Quarries; Ontario Department of Mines, Industrial Mineral Report 34, 21 p.

Lowe, S.B.
1980: Trees and Shrubs for the Improvement and Rehabilitation of Pits and Quarries in Ontario; Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources, 71 p.

McLellan, A.G.; Yundt, S.E. and Dorfman, M.L.
1979: Abandoned Pits and Quarries in Ontario; Ontario Geological Survey, Miscellaneous Paper 79, 36 p.

Ontario Mineral Aggregate Working Party
1977: A Policy for Mineral Aggregate Resource Management in Ontario; Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources, 232 p.

Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources
1975: Vegetation for the Rehabilitation of Pits and Quarries; Forest Management Branch, Division of Forests, 38 p.

Peat, Marwick & Partners and
M.M. Dillon Limited
1981: Mineral Aggregate Transportation Study; Industrial Minerals Background Paper 1, 133 p. Summary Report 26 p.

Proctor and Redfern Limited
1974: Mineral Aggregate Study, Central Ontario Planning Region; Prepared for the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources, over 100 p.

Proctor and Redfern Limited and
Gartner Lee Associates Limited
1975: Mineral Aggregate Study of Part of The Eastern Ontario Region; Prepared for the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources, about 200 p.
1977: Mineral Aggregate Study and Geological Inventory, Southwestern Region of Ontario; Prepared for the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources, about 200 p.

APPENDIX B - GLOSSARY

ABRASION RESISTANCE

Tests such as the Los Angeles Abrasion Test are used to measure the ability of aggregate to resist crushing and pulverizing under conditions similar to those encountered in processing and use. Measuring resistance is an important component in the evaluation of the quality and prospective uses of aggregate. Hard, durable material is preferred for road building.

ABSORPTION CAPACITY

Related to the porosity of the rock types of which an aggregate is composed. Porous rocks are subject to disintegration when absorbed liquids freeze and thaw, thus decreasing the strength of the aggregate.

AGGREGATE

Any hard, inert, construction material (sand, gravel, shells, slag, crushed stone or other mineral material) used for mixing in various-sized fragments with a cement or bituminous material to form concrete, mortar, etc., or used alone for road building or other construction. Synonyms include mineral aggregate and granular material.

ALKALI-AGGREGATE REACTION

A chemical reaction between the alkalies of portland cement and certain minerals found in rocks used for aggregate. Alkali-aggregate reactions are undesirable because they can cause expansion and cracking of concrete. Although perfectly suitable for building stone and asphalt applications, alkali-reactive aggregates should be avoided for structural concrete uses.

BLENDING

Required in cases of extreme coarseness, fineness or other irregularities in the gradation of unprocessed aggregate. Blending is done with approved sand-sized aggregate in order to satisfy the gradation requirements of the material.

CAMBRIAN

The first period of the Paleozoic Era, thought to have covered the time between 570 and 500 million years ago; the Cambrian precedes the Ordovician Period.

CLAST

An individual constituent, grain or fragment of a sediment or rock, produced by the mechanical weathering of a larger rock mass. Synonyms include particle and fragment.

CRUSHABLE AGGREGATE

Unprocessed gravel containing a minimum of 35 percent coarse aggregate larger than the No. 4 sieve (4.75 mm) as well as a minimum of 20 percent greater than the 26.5 mm sieve.

DELETERIOUS LITHOLOGY

A general term used to designate those rock types which are chemically or physically unsuited for use as construction or road-building aggregates. Such lithologies as chert, shale, siltstone and sandstone may deteriorate rapidly when exposed to traffic and other environmental conditions.

DEVONIAN

A period of the geological past thought to have covered the span of time between 395 and 345 million years ago, following the Silurian Period. Rocks formed in the Devonian Period are among the youngest found in Ontario.

DOLOSTONE

A carbonate sedimentary rock consisting chiefly of the mineral dolomite and containing relatively little calcite (dolostone is also known as dolomite).

DRIFT

A general term for all unconsolidated rock debris transported from one place and deposited

in another; distinguished from underlying bedrock. In North America, glacial activity has been the dominant mode of transport and deposition of drift. Synonyms include overburden and surficial deposit.

DRUMLIN

A low, smoothly rounded, elongated hill, mound, or ridge composed of glacial materials. These landforms were deposited beneath an advancing ice sheet, and were shaped by its flow.

EOLIAN

Pertaining to the wind, especially with respect to landforms whose constituents were transported and deposited by wind activity. Sand dunes are an example of an eolian landform.

FINES

A general term used to describe the size fraction of an aggregate which passes (is finer than) the No. 200 mesh screen (.075 mm). Also described informally as "dirt", these particles are in the silt and clay size range.

GLACIAL LOBE

A tongue-like projection from the margin of the main mass of an ice cap or ice sheet. During the Pleistocene Epoch several lobes of the Laurentide continental ice sheet occupied the Great Lakes basins. These lobes advanced and retreated numerous times during the Pleistocene, producing the complex arrangement of glacial material and landforms found in southern Ontario.

GRADATION

The proportion of material of each particle size, or the frequency distribution of the various sizes which constitute a sediment. The strength, durability, permeability and stability of an aggregate depend to a great extent on its gradation. The size limits for different particles are as follows:

Boulder	more than 200 mm
Cobbles	75-200 mm
Coarse Gravel	26.5-75 mm
Fine Gravel	4.75-26.5 mm
Coarse Sand	2-4.75 mm
Medium Sand	0.425-2 mm
Fine Sand	0.075-0.425 mm
Silt, Clay	less than 0.075 mm

GRANULAR BASE COURSE

Components of the pavement structure of a road, which are placed on the subgrade and are designed to provide strength, stability and drainage, as well as support for surfacing materials. Several types have been defined: Granular Base Course A consists of crushed and processed aggregate and has relatively stringent quality standards in comparison to Granular Base Course B and C which are usually pit-run or other unprocessed aggregate.

HOT-LAID (OR ASPHALTIC) AGGREGATE

Bituminous, cemented aggregates used in the construction of pavements either as surface or bearing course (H.L. 1, 3 and 4), or as binder course (H.L. 2, 6 and 8) used to bind the surface course to the underlying granular base course.

LITHOLOGY

The description of rocks on the basis of such characteristics as color, structure, mineralogic composition and grain size. Generally, the description of the physical character of a rock.

MELTWATER CHANNEL

A drainage way, often terraced, produced by water flowing away from a melting glacier margin.

ORDOVICIAN

An early period of the Paleozoic Era thought to have covered the span of time between 500 and 435 million years ago.

PALEOZOIC ERA

One of the major divisions of the geologic time scale thought to have covered the time between 570 and 230 million years ago, the Paleozoic Era (or Ancient Life Era) is subdivided into six geologic periods, of which only four (Cambrian, Ordovician, Silurian and Devonian) can be recognized in southern Ontario.

PETROGRAPHIC EXAMINATION

An aggregate quality test based on known field performance of various rock types. The test result is a Petrographic Number (P.N.). The higher the P.N. the lower the quality of the aggregate.

PLEISTOCENE

An Epoch of the recent geological past including the time from approximately 1.8 million years ago to 7000 years ago. Much of the Pleistocene was characterized by extensive glacial activity and is popularly referred to as the "Great Ice Age".

POSSIBLE RESOURCE

Reserve estimates based largely on broad knowledge of the geological character of the deposit and for which there are few, if any samples or measurements. The estimates are based on assumed continuity or repetition for which there are reasonable geological indications.

SHALE

A fine-grained, sedimentary rock formed by the consolidation of clay, silt or mud and characterized by well developed bedding planes, along which the rock breaks readily into thin layers. The term shale is also commonly used for fissile claystone, siltstone and mudstone.

SILURIAN

An early period of the Paleozoic Era thought to have covered the time between 435 and 395 million years ago. The Silurian follows the Ordovician Period and precedes the Devonian Period.

SOUNDNESS

The ability of the components of an aggregate to withstand the effects of various weathering processes and agents. Unsound lithologies are subject to disintegration caused by the expansion of absorbed solutions. This may seriously impair the performance of road-building and construction aggregates.

TILL

Unsorted and unstratified rock debris, deposited directly by glaciers, and ranging in size from clay to large boulders.

WISCONSINAN

Pertaining to the last glacial period of the Pleistocene Epoch in North America. The Wisconsinan began approximately 100 000 years ago and ended approximately 7000 years ago. The glacial deposits and landforms of southern Ontario are predominantly the result of glacial activity during the Wisconsinan Stage.

APPENDIX C - GEOLOGY OF SAND AND GRAVEL DEPOSITS

The type, distribution, and extent of sand and gravel deposits in southern Ontario are the result of extensive glacial and glacially influenced activity in Wisconsinan time during the Pleistocene Epoch, approximately 100 000 to 7000 years ago. The deposit types reflect the different depositional environments that existed during the melting and retreat of the continental ice masses, and can readily be differentiated on the basis of their morphology, structure, and texture. The deposit types are described below.

GLACIOFLUVIAL DEPOSITS

These deposits can be divided into two broad categories: those that were formed in contact with (or in close proximity to) glacial ice, and those that were deposited by meltwaters carrying materials beyond the ice margin.

ICE-CONTACT TERRACES (ICT)

These are glaciofluvial features deposited between the glacial margin and a confining topographic high, such as the side of a valley. The structure of the deposits may be similar to that of outwash deposits, but in most cases the sorting and grading of the material is more variable and the bedding is discontinuous due to extensive slumping. The probability of locating large amounts of crushable aggregate is moderate, and extraction may be expensive due to the variability of the deposits both in terms of quality and grain size distribution.

KAMES (K)

Kames are defined as mounds of poorly sorted sand and gravel deposited by meltwater in depressions or fissures on the ice surface or at its margin. During glacial retreat, the melting of supporting ice causes collapse of the deposits producing internal structures characterized by bedding discontinuities. The deposits consist mainly of irregularly bedded and crossbedded, poorly sorted sand and gravel. The present forms of the deposits include single mounds, linear ridges (crevasse fillings) or complex groups of landforms. The latter are occasionally described as "undifferentiated ice-contact stratified drift" (IC) when detailed subsurface information is unavailable. Since kames commonly contain large amounts of fine-grained material and are characterized by considerable variability, there is generally a low to moderate probability of discovering large amounts of good-quality crushable aggregate. Extractive problems encountered in these deposits are mainly the excessive variability of the aggregate and the rare presence of excess fines (silt- and clay-sized particles).

ESKERS (E)

Eskers are narrow, sinuous ridges of sand and gravel deposited by meltwaters flowing in tunnels within or at the base of glaciers, or in channels on the ice surface. Eskers vary greatly in size. Many, though not all eskers consist of a central core of poorly sorted and stratified gravel characterized by a wide range in grain size. The core material is often draped on its flanks by better sorted and stratified sand and gravel. The deposits have a high probability of containing a large proportion of crushable aggregate, and since they are generally built above the surrounding ground surface, are convenient extraction sites. For these reasons esker deposits have been traditional aggregate sources throughout southern Ontario, and are significant components of the total resources of many areas.

Some planning constraints and opportunities are inherent in the nature of the deposits. Because of their linear nature, the deposits commonly extend across several property boundaries leading to unorganized extractive development at numerous small pits. On the other hand, because of their form, eskers can be easily and inexpensively extracted and are amenable to rehabilitation and sequential land use.

UNDIFFERENTIATED ICE-CONTACT STRATIFIED DRIFT (IC)

This designation may include deposits from several ice-contact, depositional environments which usually form extensive, complex landforms. It is not feasible to identify individual areas of coarse-grained material within such deposits due to their lack of continuity and grain size variability. They are given a qualitative rating based on existing pit and other subsurface data.

OUTWASH (OW)

Outwash deposits consist of sand and gravel laid down by meltwaters beyond the margin of the ice lobes. The deposits occur as sheets or as terraced valley fills (valley trains) and may be very large in extent and thickness. Well developed outwash deposits have good horizontal bedding and are uniform in grain size distribution. Outwash deposited near the glacier's margin is much more variable in texture and structure. The probability of locating useful crushable aggregates in outwash deposits is moderate to high depending on how much information on size, distribution and thickness is available.

ALLUVIUM (AL)

Alluvium is a general term for clay, silt, sand, gravel, or similar unconsolidated material deposited during postglacial time by a stream as sorted or semi-sorted sediment, on its bed or on its floodplain. The probability of locating large amounts of crushable aggregate in alluvial deposits is low, and it has generally low value due to the presence of excess silt- and clay-sized material. There are few large postglacial alluvium deposits in Ontario.

GLACIOLACUSTRINE DEPOSITS

GLACIOLACUSTRINE BEACH DEPOSITS (LB)

These are relatively narrow, linear features formed by wave action at the shores of glacial lakes that existed at various times during the deglaciation of southern Ontario. Well developed lacustrine beaches are usually less than 20 feet (6 m) thick. The aggregate is well sorted and stratified and sand-sized material commonly predominates. The composition and size distribution of the deposit depends on the nature of the source material. The probability of obtaining crushable aggregate is high when the material is developed from coarse-grained materials such as a stony till, and low when developed from fine-grained materials. Beaches are relatively narrow, linear deposits, so that extractive operations are often numerous and extensive.

GLACIOLACUSTRINE DELTAS (LD)

These features were formed where streams or rivers of glacial meltwater flowed into lakes and deposited their suspended sediment. In southern Ontario such deposits tend to consist mainly of sand and abundant silt. However, in near-ice and ice-contact positions, coarse material may be present. Although deltaic deposits may be large, the probability of obtaining coarse material is generally low.

GLACIOLACUSTRINE PLAINS (LP)

The nearly level surface marking the floor of an extinct glacial lake. The sediments which form the plain are predominantly fine to medium sand, silt, and clay, and were deposited in relatively deep water. Lacustrine deposits are generally of low value as aggregate sources due to their fine grain size and lack of crushable material. In some aggregate-poor areas, lacustrine deposits may constitute valuable sources of fill and some granular base course aggregate.

GLACIAL DEPOSITS

END MORAINES (EM)

These are belts of glacial drift deposited at, and parallel to, glacier margins. End moraines commonly consist of ice-contact stratified drift and in such instances are usually called kame moraines. Kame moraines commonly result from deposition between two glacial lobes (interlobate moraines). The probability of locating aggregates within such features is moderate to low. Exploration and development costs are high. Moraines may be very large and contain vast aggregate resources, but the location of the best resource areas within the moraine is usually poorly defined.

EOLIAN DEPOSITS

WINDBLOWN DEPOSITS (WD)

Windblown deposits are those formed by the transport and deposition of sand by winds. The form of the deposits ranges from extensive, thin layers to well developed linear and crescentic ridges known as dunes. Most windblown deposits in southern Ontario are derived from, and deposited on, pre-existing lacustrine sand plain deposits. Windblown sediments almost always consist of fine to coarse sand and are usually well sorted. The probability of locating crushable aggregate in windblown deposits is very low.

APPENDIX D - GEOLOGY OF BEDROCK DEPOSITS



Figure 2 - Bedrock Geology of Southern Ontario

BEDROCK SUITABLE FOR CRUSHED STONE PRODUCTS

BASS ISLANDS FORMATION (UPPER SILURIAN)

(Includes the Bertie Formation of the Niagara Peninsula) Composition: Medium- to massive-bedded, aphanitic, brown dolostone with shaly partings. Thickness: 35 to 60 feet (11 to 18m) near Hagersville. Uses: Quarried for crushed stone on the Niagara Peninsula at Fort Erie, Cayuga, Hagersville, and Dunville. Los Angeles Abrasion Test: 17-35% loss; Absorption: 1.4%. Shaly parts are unsuitable for aggregate due to high soundness losses.

BOBCAYGEON FORMATION (MIDDLE ORDOVICIAN)

Composition: Compact, homogeneous, medium to thin-bedded, fine-grained limestone with some argillaceous and shaly partings. Thickness: The lower unit is 40 to 72 feet (12 to 22 m) thick in the east and the remainder of the formation is 40 feet (12 m). Uses: Quarried at Kirkfield and Marysville for crushed stone. The Bobcaygeon Formation has consistently acceptable quality for granular base course materials and concrete.

BOIS BLANC FORMATION (LOWER-MIDDLE DEVONIAN)

Composition: Brownish grey, medium-crystalline, medium- to thin-bedded, cherty limestone, commonly fossiliferous. Limestone may be silty or sandy in places. Thickness: 9 to 200 feet (3 to 61 m). Uses: Quarried at Hagersville, Cayuga, and Port Colborne for crushed stone. High chert content makes much of the material unsuitable for concrete aggregate. Los Angeles Abrasion Test: 14-28% loss; Soundness Test: 4-10% loss; Absorption: 0.7-2.0%.

DUNDEE FORMATION (MIDDLE DEVONIAN)

Composition: Fine- to medium-crystalline, brownish grey, medium- to thick-bedded, dolomitic limestone with shaly partings, sandy layers, and chert in some areas. Thickness: 60 to 160 feet (18 to 49 m). Uses: Quarried near Port Dover and Pelee Island for crushed stone.

Used at St. Marys for portland cement. Los Angeles Abrasion Test: 22-32% loss; Absorption: 0-4%.

GULL RIVER FORMATION (MIDDLE ORDOVICIAN)

Composition: Member A: thin- to thick-bedded, interbedded, grey argillaceous limestone and buff to green dolostone with a maximum thickness of 60 feet (18 m). Members B and C are dense, aphanitic limestones with argillaceous dolostone interbeds. Uses: Quarried at Kirkfield, Victoria County, and Uhthoff for crushed stone. The product is generally fresh and compact with good cubic-shaped factor, low clay content, low absorption, and low soundness losses. Smooth particle surfaces may cause adhesion problems for asphalt. There is some alkali reactivity in a few of the layers.

LOCKPORT AND AMABEL FORMATIONS (MIDDLE SILURIAN)

Composition: Amabel Formation (Waterdown to the Bruce Peninsula): massive, fine-crystalline dolostone, with reef facies dolostone near Georgetown. Lockport Formation (lateral facies equivalent to the Amabel Formation from Waterdown to Niagara Falls): thin- to massive-bedded, fine- to medium-grained dolostone. Thickness: Amabel Formation: maximum observed thickness of 84 feet (26 m). Lockport Formation: up to 130 feet (40 m). Uses: The Lockport and Amabel Formations have been used to produce lime, crushed stone, concrete aggregate and building stone throughout their area of occurrence, and are a resource of provincial significance. Los Angeles Abrasion Test: 21-35% loss; Soundness Test: 2.0% loss; Absorption: 0.4-1.6%.

ONONDAGA FORMATION (MIDDLE DEVONIAN)

(Equivalent to the Detroit River Group, with a textural change) Composition: Edgecliff Member: medium-bedded, fine- to medium-grained, dark grey cherty limestone with an estimated thickness of 25 to 30 feet (8 to 9 m). Clarence Member: massive-bedded, dark grey brown, fine-grained, very cherty limestone having an estimated thickness of 26 feet (8 m). Moorehouse Member: medium-bedded, dark grey brown or purplish brown, fine- to coarse-grained, variably cherty limestone with an estimated thickness of 15 to 25 feet (5 to 8 m). Uses: Quarried for crushed stone on the Niagara Peninsula at Welland and Port Colborne. High chert content makes much of the material unsuitable for concrete aggregate.

OTTAWA FORMATION (MIDDLE ORDOVICIAN)

Composition: Lower Phase (Lowville and Pamela Beds): shale, some sandstone and dolostone. Thickness: 100 feet (30 m). Middle Phase (Hull, Rockland, and Leray Beds): pure, thick-bedded, crystalline limestone. Thickness: 150 feet (46 m) near Ottawa. Upper Phase (Cobourg and Sherman Fall Beds): pure and impure crystalline limestone with few to numerous shaly partings, 450 to 475 feet (137 to 145 m) thick near Ottawa. Uses: The Leray, Rockland, and Hull Beds have been quarried extensively for crushed stone and for building stone. In addition, the Hull Beds are an excellent source of lime for cement production and agricultural uses.

OXFORD FORMATION (LOWER ORDOVICIAN)

Composition: Medium- to thick-bedded, grey dolostone, with some shaly partings. Thickness: 240 feet (73 m). Uses: Quarried for crushed stone (road and concrete aggregate) at Ottawa, Brockville, and Smiths Falls.

BEDROCK SUITABLE FOR LIME PRODUCTION AND OTHER CHEMICAL USES

DETROIT RIVER GROUP (MIDDLE DEVONIAN)

(Equivalent to the Onondaga Formation in the Niagara Peninsula, with a textural change) Composition: Near Beachville, the group consists of medium- to micro-crystalline, medium-bedded, high-purity limestone. It grades northwards near St. Marys to soft, evenly bedded, fine-grained dolostone with bituminous laminae. Massive, porous, reef facies material also occurs to the north (Formosa Reef Limestone). Thickness: 100 feet (30 m) at Beachville, 350 feet (107 m) at Clinton. Uses: The most important source of high-purity limestone in Ontario is the Lucas Formation of the Detroit River Group at Beachville. Detroit River limestone produces 80% of Ontario's cement. Its dolomitic reefal facies is also important for lime production to the north. It is generally unsuitable for crushed stone. The Anderdon Member of the Lucas Formation is quarried at Amherstburg for

crushed stone.

GRENVILLE MARBLE (PRECAMBRIAN)

Composition: Recrystallized white limestone and dolostone, fine- to coarse-grained, usually of high chemical purity. Uses: Lime production, but also in small amounts for terrazzo chips, poultry grit, decorative stone, and building stone.

GUELPH FORMATION (MIDDLE SILURIAN)

Composition: Aphanitic to medium-crystalline, thick-bedded, soft, porous dolostone, characterized in places by extensive vuggy, porous reefal facies dolostone of high chemical purity. The Guelph Formation and the underlying Amabel Formation have a combined thickness of 200 feet (61 m) on the Niagara Peninsula and more than 400 feet (122 m) on the Bruce Peninsula. Uses: The main use is for dolomitic lime in the construction industry. The formation is quarried near Hamilton and Guelph.

LINDSAY FORMATION (MIDDLE ORDOVICIAN)

Composition: Lower Member: fine-crystalline, rubbly, nodular-weathering limestone. Upper Member: grey calcareous claystone with shaly partings and bioclastic layers. The rock is "soft" and weathers to rubble. Both members are characterized by low dolomite content and by numerous clayey partings. Uses: Quarried at Picton, Ogden Point and Bowmanville for cement. The formation is generally unsuitable for crushed stone, concrete aggregate, or granular base course.

VERULAM FORMATION (MIDDLE ORDOVICIAN)

Composition: Fossiliferous, pure to argillaceous limestone and interbedded calcareous shale. The rock is not resistant to erosion and commonly weathers to rubble. Thickness: 200 to 300 feet (61 to 91 m). Uses: Quarried at Picton, Ogden Point, and Mara Township for cement. The formation is unsuitable for crushed stone due to clay impurities, many clayey interbeds, and low abrasion resistance, high soundness losses and poor freeze and thaw resistance.

BEDROCK SUITABLE FOR BRICK AND TILE MANUFACTURE

GEORGIAN BAY FORMATION (UPPER ORDOVICIAN)

(Formerly known as the Meaford-Dundas and Blue Mountain shales in the Toronto and Bruce Peninsula areas) Composition: Soft, fissile, blue grey shale with limey or sandy lenses in a few places. Thickness: 640 feet (195 m) at Toronto. Uses: Several producers in Metro Toronto and Cooksville produce brick and structural tile. Lightweight aggregate is also produced at Streetsville by heat expansion of the shale.

HAMILTON GROUP (MIDDLE DEVONIAN)

Composition: Grey shale with interbeds of crystalline and cherty limestone. The group has six formations, but only the Arkona is of commercial value. It is a soft, light grey, calcareous shale which is plastic and easily worked when wet. Thickness: 80 to 300 feet (24 to 91 m). Uses: The Arkona Formation is extracted at Thedford and near Arkona for production of drainage tile and brick.

QUEENSTON FORMATION (UPPER ORDOVICIAN)

Composition: Red, thin- to thick-bedded, sandy to argillaceous shale with green mottling and banding. Thickness: 400 to 500 feet (122 to 152 m). Uses: There are several large shale quarries developed in the Queenston Formation in the Toronto-Hamilton region and one at Russell, near Ottawa. All produce brick for construction. The Queenston Formation is the most important source material for brick manufacture in the Province.

BEDROCK SUITABLE FOR OTHER INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTS

NEPEAN (POTSDAM) FORMATION (CAMBRO-ORDOVICIAN)

Composition: Creamy, coarse-grained, silica sandstone. Uses: Quarried throughout its area of outcrop for building stone, decorative stone, abrasives, and for glass making.

SALINA FORMATION (UPPER SILURIAN)

Composition: Grey and red shale, brown dolomite, and, in places, salt, anhydrite, and gypsum.

The formation consists predominantly of evaporite deposits with up to eight members identified. Uses: Gypsum is mined at Hagersville, Caledonia, and Drumbo. Salt is mined at Goderich and is produced from brine wells at Amherstburg, Windsor, and Sarnia.

WHITBY FORMATION (UPPER ORDOVICIAN)

(Formerly known as Collingwood Shale near Toronto) Composition: Brown to black fissile shale. Uses: Quarried at Bowmanville for use in cement production. Testing indicates that the Whitby Formation may produce satisfactory lightweight expanded aggregate.

MAPS NOT

FILMED

CARTES

OMISES